File	With	
File	With	

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: _ABP_ 314485-22	Defer Re O/H ☐
Having considered the contents of the submission from	dated received 02 lou 12024
James Humphreyes I recommend that se betnot be invoked at this stage for the following real	ction 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: Pat B	Date: 18/04/2024
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for repl	/. []
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 notices ubmission	ce enclosing a copy of the attached
o: Task No:	
Niow 2/3/4weeks — BP	
0:	Date:
A:	

	S. 37 File With		
CORRESPOND			
Appeal No: ABP_314485			
М			
Please treat correspondence received on <u>O2</u>	10412024 as follows:		
1. Update database with new agent for Applicant 2. Acknowledge with BP 23	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP		
3. Keep copy of Board's Letter	2. Keep Envelope: 3. Keep Copy of Board's letter		
Amendments/Comments Jomes Humphreys 12/03/2024 02/04/24/	response to 5.131		
12/03/2024 02/04/24/			
4. Attach to file (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO		
	Plans Date Stamped		
010	Date Stamped Filled in Land Anthony Mc Nally		
EO: Pats	ANTHONY FIE NORM		

25/04/2024

Date:

Pats

18/04/2024

Date:

Stephen Sutton

From:

James Humphreys < James. Humphreys@cllrs.fingal.ie>

Sent:

Tuesday 2 April 2024 15:52

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Attachments:

An Bord Pleanala Letter 2nd April 2024.pdf

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Afternoon,

Please find attached observation on Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Regards James

22. 23.

An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam,

In response to your recent communication regarding the aforementioned case, I wish to present the following observations and submissions on behalf of Deputy Duncan Smith, Cllr Robert O'Donghoue, Cllr Brian MCDonagh and myslef:

- We are deeply concerned by the significant expansion of noise contours affecting our community, including a substantial number of residences now enveloped within these contours. This expansion was not adequately communicated in any prior planning notices for this application. Many residents, previously unaware of their inclusion, only became informed through a public meeting held by the St Margarets/The Ward residents' group. The failure to notify affected individuals and provide them with the opportunity to submit observations is unacceptable and unjust.
- It has come to my attention that the change in noise contours, as indicated by Tom Phillips & Associates, is attributed to the ANCA Regulatory Decision concerning eligibility for the noise insulation scheme. However, it is essential to note that the DAA has not conducted significant testing criteria in any of their Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (EIARs), thus failing to comply with the EIA directive. This omission undermines the assessment's credibility, as all significant environmental impacts must be identified, quantified, and mitigated, which has not been adequately addressed.
- While Tom Phillips continually references the regulatory decision by ANCA, it is
 crucial to highlight that the proposed scenario for 2025 does not meet the Noise
 Abatement Objective (NAO) set by ANCA for future years. The anticipated increase in
 population and development surpasses the NAO threshold established in 2019,
 indicating a failure to comply with regulatory standards.
- The expansion of noise contours raises questions about the accuracy of DAA's noise
 predictions. Independent noise monitoring conducted by residents along the north
 runway flight path revealed noise levels far exceeding DAA's projections. The
 discrepancy between predicted and actual noise levels calls into question the validity of
 the proposed plans and underscores the need for accurate data to inform decision-making

- Additionally, the proposed flight path over our area necessitates a revision of noise
 zones outlined in the Fingal development plan. Existing residences are now situated in
 Noise Zones A and B, contradicting Fingal County Council's stance against residential
 development in these zones due to potential health risks associated with high levels of
 aircraft noise.
- The proposed noise insulation grant fails to adequately address the issue of night noise, with measurements in insulated housing indicating noise levels that exceed recommendations outlined in the Fingal Development Plan. This insufficient protection poses risks to human health and well-being.
- In reviewing this matter, it's essential to conduct a comprehensive Community Impact Assessment, considering broader effects on property values, quality of life, and community cohesion. The lack of transparency and meaningful public engagement throughout the planning process undermines public trust and confidence in the outcomes.
- Health and well-being concerns stemming from increased exposure to aircraft noise, particularly at night, must be taken seriously. The World Health Organization (WHO) has highlighted the detrimental effects of nighttime noise on health in its report and recommendations. The WHO guidelines for night noise recommend less than 40 dB(A) of annual average (Lnight) outside of bedrooms to prevent adverse health effects from night noise. Noise pollution has been linked to various health issues, including sleep disturbance, stress, and cardiovascular problems. Given the findings and recommendations of the WHO report, it is imperative that measures are taken to mitigate nighttime noise levels to safeguard the health and well-being of residents affected by airport operations.
- Exploration of alternative solutions, such as adjusting flight paths, implementing noise
 abatement measures, or investing in more effective noise insulation programs, should
 be pursued to mitigate the negative impacts on the community while still allowing for
 necessary airport development.
- Long-term planning considerations must be taken into account beyond immediate development needs. Sustainable development requires careful consideration of environmental, social, and economic factors to ensure the well-being of current and future generations.

In conclusion, the actions of the DAA suggest a disregard for planning legislation and decisions made by An Bord Pleanála. Given the inadequacies and discrepancies highlighted above, we urge the refusal of this application.

Yours sincerely,

Sign: James (

Date: 2nd April '24

Address: 8 Castleview Way, Swords, Co. Dublin

CC. Duncan Smith

Rob O'Donghoue Brian McDonagh

		41